SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL October 1, 1996

MINUTES

PRESENT: J. Friedlander, L. Fairly, B. Hamre, C. Hanson, K. O'Connor, D. Oroz, J. Romo, L.Rose

GUESTS: L. Tannatt

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Friedlander at 3:04 p.m.

ACTION ITEM

Action Stage: Guidelines for the Use of Electronic Mail at SBCC

George Gregg distributed copies of the revised *Guidelines for the Use of Electronic Mail at SBCC.* He noted that the changes requested by the CPC at its meeting of September 18 have been included. Questions were raised on the District's responsibility and liability for materials published or sent electronically. Mr. Gregg indicated that the District Technology Committee would be developing recommendations for policies on the use of email and publishing on the WWW. Mr. Harme noted that there are extensive policies for appropriate student use of e-mail in the District Technology Plan which were adopted last year. It was recommended. Members concurred that the Guidelines be disseminated college-wide annually.

M/S/C To approve the *Guidelines for the Use of Electronic Mail at SBCC* as revised (O'Connor/Fairly) <u>Unanimous</u>

REPORTS/DISCUSSION

Accreditation

Bill Hamre provided an update on Accreditation. He reported that several members of the Accrediting Team were on campus on September 29 for a preliminary site visit. Dr. Ed Gould, Chair of the Accrediting Team and CEO at Monterey Peninsula College, was accompanied by Dr. Cheryl Kubash, Dean of Vision and Quality at Monterey Peninsula College. At the request of Dr. Gould, each standard leader will be interviewed by members of the accreditation team. Alma Ledbetter and Janice Peterson are coordinating schedules for these meetings. A **preliminary calendar** of activities for the Accreditation visit was provided by Mr. Hamre.

Arrival of Accred. Team	Mon., October 28
Meeting with CAC	Mon., October 28
Breakfast Meeting	Tues., October 29
(with Academic Senate,	Board of Trustees, Cabinet)

BC 2143:00 p.m.Gourmet Dining Room7:30 a.m.

Meeting with P & R	Tues., October 29	BC 214	3:00 p.m.
Meeting with Ac. Sen.	Wed., October 30	BC 214	3:00 p.m.
Exit Interview	Thurs., October 31	Garvin Theatre	2:00 p.m.

At Kathy O'Connor's request, Lana Rose and Don Barthelmess agreed to represent Kathy O'Connor and Karolyn Hanna at the Exit Interview as the latter will be attending a State Academic Senate meeting on October 31.

Mr. Hamre reminded CPC members that Standard Leaders need to submit their Accreditation reference materials to him by October 15.

College Plan

Members continued their review of the *College Plan* with a discussion on the proposed changes in the section on *Learning and Instruction*. Mrs. Fairly asked for several modifications to *GOAL 6 AND GOAL 8*. It was recommended that an additional objective be added to *GOAL 6* which would define and Include those "at risk" students who are not on academic probation or academic disqualification, but who are not meeting their educational goals. Following the discussion members agreed to the following changes: (1) add Objective 6a): "Establish processes for identifying students who are at risk of not achieving their desired educational goals" and (2) reinstate GOAL 8, Objective 8c) "Implement a survey to assess the extent to which students participate in artistic and other enrichment activities in and out of class (Spring 1997)". Mrs. Fairly stated that she would be responsible for the implementation of Objective 8c).

John Romo reported that Rob Reilly, who is preparing the College Plan for publication and for distribution to the Accreditation Team, asked for a clarification from the CPC on the title of the plan (Strategic Plan vs. College Plan). Members agreed that the title of the document should be **COLLEGE PLAN**.

Next steps: John Romo reported that, although the revised College Plan is pending Board of Trustees ratification, Cabinet has begun to review all of the components of the plan in preparation for assigning them to the vice presidents who have responsibility for each goal and objectives. The vice presidents will be reporting back to the Cabinet and the College Planning Council on the progress in carrying out all of the goals and objectives. Mr. Romo stressed the importance of reviewing the goals and objectives in terms of feasibility and outcomes (he will work with Bill Hamre on this and report back to CPC). The vice presidents and their staffs will need to identify goals and objectives in their areas which can be supported with existing funds and those which will require new resources. Members suggested that initiatives which could be jeopardized due to lack of funds should be brought before CPC for discussion, analysis, and prioritization. Recommendations resulting from their discussions would be forwarded to Dr. MacDougall for his consideration. Dr. Hanson reminded members that the anticipated Basic Skills funding included in the 1996-97 budget may not be available. Members commented that it was important that the President and the Board know what CPC's priorities are and that other resources options are available. Dr. Friedlander will bring a proposed timeline for resource requests/allocations at a future meeting.

Several members expressed concern regarding the intent and implementation of LEADERSHIP, GOAL 1. "Redefine and expand new leadership roles and organizational structures which complement Project Redesign's movement from a function-based to a process-based model." Dr. Friedlander stated that he would invite Dr. MacDougall to a future CPC meeting to participate in the discussion of the expected outcomes of this goal.

A lengthy discussion to clarify **INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT**, GOALS 2 and GOALS 5 followed. Objective 2c) was revised as follows"*Conduct an examination of the District's budget consistent with the College Plan and Project Redesign (June 1999).*"

GOAL 5 was revised to read: "Develop formalized methodologies for the analysis, implementation and evaluation of major innovations."

Objective 5a) was changed as follows"*Create processes for the analysis, discussion and evaluation of innovations that have college-wide implications (June 1997).*"

M/S/C To approve the revised **College Plan** in concept and pending approval by the Academic Senate (Hamre/O'Connor) <u>Unanimous</u>

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:40 p.m.

cc: Cabinet, Deans, Assistant Deans, Department Chairs, Academic Senate, Instructor's Association, CSEA, Classified Council, College Information, *The Channels*, Rob Reilly