CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES

107 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 (916)



October 22, 1985

TO: FROM:

Superintendents/Presidents District chief Business Officers Joseph P. Keating Assistant chancellor Administration and Finance

SUBJECT: ELIMINATION OF THE .911 ABSENCE FACTOR

The implementation of the regulation for the elimination of the .911 factor has been delayed and will not receive the approval of the Director of the State Department of

Finance at this time. In conformance with the Governor's veto message of last June 28, (copy attached), the Director has indicated that he is unwilling to consider the change until the review and report of the Master Plan Review Commission has been completed. You should therefore disregard the information which was sent to you in my memo of October 3. Average Daily Attendance must continue to be discounted, at the present item, based on an assumed absentee rate of 8.89%.

We will continue to work toward the objective of eliminating the absence Penalty. However, districts should not anticipate that subsequent approval will be obtained and should

definitely not adjust course offerings based on the greater flexibility in generating ADA that was anticipated with the change.

As you know, the Board of Governor's action to approve the change was based on the understanding that the Director of Finance had given his conditional approval on March 7 (letter attached). The condition expressed in that letter was that it be clearly understood that "such a change would not result in additional resources being allocated to the system". In light of the fact that the Governor vetoed the additional funds that were intended to support the elimination of the factor and since we were not requesting additional funds for this purpose in either 1985-86 or in the budget for 1986-87, it was our understanding that the condition placed on the Director of Finance's approval was met. However, the Department of Finance staff have expressed a concern regarding the possibility of fiscal consequences in subsequent years. In addition, the Governor's message in his veto of funds to support the elimination of the absence factor is interpreted by the Department of Finance to preclude them from considering the .911 factor, regardless of fiscal consequence, until the Master Plan Review Commission has completed its work.

Attachments

cc: Joshua L. Smith Gus Guichard Registrars and Admissions Officers Directors of Data Processing State of California

MEMORANDUM

Date: OCT 2 2 1985

To: Joshua L. Smith, Chancellor California Community Colleges 1107 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814

From: Department of Finance

Subject: Regulation Change for Elimination of Attendance Factor

It came as a surprise to the Department of Finance (DOF) that the Board of Governors had "adopted" a change to modify existing regulations regarding the 0.911 attendance factor. My staff heard of this last week when they were attending the Community College's Business Officers Meeting in Claremont.

There seems to be a misunderstanding of a March 7, 1985, memo of mine to former Chancellor Hayward and of a Governor's veto action, a lost letter from Chancellor Hayward to me regarding his intention to ask the Board of Governors to take this action at the September 12-13 meeting, and a possible oversight as to what constitutes approval.

I am sure you are aware that Chancellor Hayward asked for our approval of such a change on February 14, 1985. My March 7, 1985, letter did not contain an approval. The paragraph that seems to have been misunderstood by the Chancellor's Office states that: "However, DOF would have no objection to the elimination of the 0.911 factor or a change to an FTE-based enrollment measure provided that it is clearly understood that such a change would not result in additional resources being allocated to the system."

This paragraph does not limit the concern to the fiscal effects that might occur in 1985-86, as stated in Board Agend Item. Chancellor's Office Staff are well aware that one cannot look at just the first year impact of a change or a new program, and that one of DOF's concerns is always what the change does over time.

Further, the Governor's veto message for Item 6870-101-001 stated that he was unwilling to commit funds for the elimination of the 0.911 factor on an ongoing basis, and that any such change should take place only in the context of a change in the funding. mechanism and recommendations from the Commission for the Review of the Master Plan on the prioritization of the mission of the colleges.

We have just received a copy of Chancellor Hayward's letter to me, dated August 30, 1985, stating his intention that the Board Agenda for the meeting on September 12-13 was going to include the amendment of the absence factor for possible action. The thought was good, it is unfortunate that the letter was not received, nor the "cc" to the staff, as this misunderstanding could have been avoided.

Chancellor Hayward and the Chancellor's Office staff should have been concerned when there was no response from DOF. There are only a few DOF approvals in law and practice which occur in the absence of a positive response.

Realizing that misunderstandings can happen and much of this is history, please understand that DOF has not approved the elimination of the .911 factor as reouired by Education Code Section 84520 and as proposed in the regulation change to Section 58003 of Title V. We understand that, fortuitously, this regulation change has not yet been filed with the Office of Administrative Law.

Should you want to explore this on a preliminary basis before the Master Olan Review Commission makes its recommendations and a new funding law is deliberated, we would need a more thorough analysis of themulti-year fiscal effects of such a change, and for other such relevant aspects as to the details of how and when such a change would be made, what disequalizing effects, if any, there might be, whether and how it would change the allocation apportionment funds within the system, and when funded or unfunded ADA bases would be used.

If you have any questions or need any additional information regarding this matter, please call Carl Rogers, Principal Program Budget Analyst, telephone (916) 445-0328.

ISSE R.

Director of Finance

Memorandum

Date : MAR 7 1935

- To Gerald C. Hayward, Chancellor California Community Colleges 1107 9th Street, 4th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814
- From Department of Finance DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
- Subject: Request for Department of Finance Approval of Proposed Regulation Change for Elimination of Attendance Factor

The Department of Finance (DOF) has reviewed the Board of Governors' request for approval of the proposed regulation change to eliminate the .911 attendance factor.

After reviewing the details of the request, we can find no compelling reason to approve the change. We are unable to ascertain any correlation between the factor and the current financial situation facing some districts. With or without the .911 factor, districts would be confronted with a loss of ADA and revenue and, therefore, would be in the same relative financial position.

However, DOF would have no objection to the elimination of the .911 factor or a change to an FTE-based enrollment measure provided that it is clearly understood that such a change would not result in additional resources being allocated to the system.

Notwithstanding the .911 issue, the funding proposed in the 1985-86 Budget meets the requirements of the law. In fact, by providing a one-time allocation of \$31.7 million above these obligations, the Budget does recognize the current financial situation of the districts most seriously affected by the loss of ADA.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact Robert L. Harris at (916) 445-0328.

JESSE R. HUFF Director of Finance

E:0096P/2359N1

Governor's Veto Message Regarding Community College Apportionment Funding (emphasis added)

I am reducing the community college apportionment program by \$45,946,000. The Legislature has indicated in this budget that it is willing to commit \$61.5 million on an ongoing basis to community colleges by funding the elimination of the .911 attendance factor with an additional \$16,600,000 for a one percent make-up COLA. I am not prepared to make such a major commitment of future General Fund resources. Any such change should take place only in the context of a change in the funding mechanism and recommendations from the Commission for the Review of the Master Plan on the prioritization of the mission of the colleges.

SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE MARINE TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

TO: College Planning Committee FROM: Gerald L. Clouser SUBJECT: Replacement of personnel DATE: Nov.1,1985

- 1. Last year the Marine Tech Department had 4 instructors, this year we have two. In June Bob Christensen retired and Greg Bryant resigned to go into the professional sector. This leaves only Mike Von Alvensleben and myself to carry the full load of the program. We have been able to manage this semester only because of a major change in the structure of the Marine Technology Program. If we should have to continue to teach the program with only two instructors, it would require a large overload in the spring semester. In the fall of 86 the overload will be even greater. If we don't hire an instructor, we will be forced to drop classes which are part of the students' major requirements. The attached pages show the TLU requirements of the department. Options are:
 - A. To hire a temporary contract replacement would be very difficult. feel we will not be able to bring someone into the Santa Barbara area with the expertise we are looking for and ask him to work for less, and then to do it as a temporary position.
 - B. To hire a qualified hourly instructors for next semester is a possibility. However to hire hourly to cover the load would require hiring more than one instructor. I know of two qualified persons interested in teaching in the Spring as hourly instructors. Should they come, we would still need to hire a full time instructor in the Fall. Should we elect to use hourly instructors for the spring, I feel that our program should get top priority for hiring in the Fall.
- Another consideration is that the Marine Tech Department began for the first time this year teaching two classes for the Marine Science Department: Basic Diving; and Seamanship & Small Boat Handling. Without additional personnel we will be unable to continue offering these classes for that department.
- 3. Lab sizes are small for safety considerations. There are 12 to 15 students per lab for the diving classes, and 25 to 30 for the non-diving classes. To have lab's larger than these numbers would present a safety hazard.
- 4. Marine Tech enrollment is increasing. This fall semester enrollment was 50, which is an increase over last year's enrollment of 40. We will also be accepting applicants during the spring semester. We already have about 20 students who are planning on beginning the program in the spring. I am anticipating starting the second year with 60 students. The highest number of students that I've seen start the second year in the past ten years was 42.

Why the increase?

- A. The program change has allowed students to come in either semester and arrange their schedule to meet their needs.
- B. We have stepped up our public awareness of the Marine Tech Program. Last year I visited 3 of the local high schools on their career days. We also wrote letters and sent information to career centers at two hundred high schools across the state. We also participate in local events such as the Fishermans Festival and Stearns Wharf Day.
- C. We also put an ad in one of the popular dive magazines, "The California Diver," in which we gleaned many inquiries. I believe several of the students in the first year heard about the program from this ad.
- 4. We are now allowing students to enter the program either in the Fall or Spring, according to their desire. We can do this because the only Marine Tech class that they now have in the first year is the Basic Diving Class. This class is offered both in Fall and Spring. All other classes are either academic requirements or support classes as shown below: Marine Techology program change.

FIRST YEAR Basic Diving (M.T.1) (Fall & Fall) Drafting 2 Welding for the Marine Industry I & II Physical Oceanography (E.S. 2) Machine Shop Operations (M.S.11) Marine Biology (Blo.5) Fundamentals of Electronics (Elect.10) *English 1 *Physics 1 *Speech 21 or 23 *American Institutions	Units 3 2 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 5 5
SECOND YEAR FALL SEMESTER Seamanship and small boat handling (M.T.2) Advanced Diving (M.T.3) Ocean Dives (M.T.30) Marine Engines & Compressors (M.T.4) Biological Oceanography (Bio.11) Advanced Studies (M.T.90)	Units 3 3 1 3 3 1 14
SPRING SEMESTER Underwater Construction (M.T.5) Underwater Operations (M.T.6) Diving Systems (M.T.7) Ocean Dives (M.T.67) Advanced Studies (M.T.90) Emergency Medical Technician (E.M.T.1)	Units 3 3 1 1 5 16

Recommended Electives: Fundamentals of photography and Computer Science. *Required for Associate in Science Degree. Bold are Marine Technology Classes

CITY COLLEGE DGY L.U.) n Year)	
7 1/3 + 5 1/3 (One Lab-Marine Science) (Three labs)	12 2/3 7 1/3 10 1/3
<u>(Release Time)</u>	0000 31 1/3 <u>7 1/2</u> 38 5/6
VonAlvensleben MT-1 7 1/3 MT-5 2 2/3 MT-2 7 1/3 MT-50 1/2 1/2 17 5/6 5/6	
ition Year) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 (Three labs) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 ed ∎ive Rescue Class)	12 2/3 10 1/3 12 2/3 2 <u>0000</u> 37 2/3 7 1/2
<u>VonAlvensleben</u> MT-1 7 1/3 MT-7 5 1/3 MT-67 <u>AJ-36</u>	<u>47 1/6</u> <u>Mew Staff</u> MT-1 5 1/3 MT-7 7 1/3 MT-67 1 <u>AJ-36 1</u> 14 2/3
	DGY L.U.) n Year) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 (One Lab-Marine Science) (Three labs) (Release Time) (Release Time) (Release Time) VonAlvensleben MT-1 7 1/3 MT-5 2 2/3 MT-5 2 2/3 MT-2 7 1/3 MT-50 1/2 17 5/6 ition Year) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 (Three labs) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 (Three labs) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 MT-1 7 1/3 MT-7 5 1/3 MT-7 5 1/3 MT-67

MARINE TECHNOLOGY TEACHER LOAD UNIT (1 FALL 1986	F.L.U.) Continued	
MT-1	7 1/3 + 5 1/3	12 2/3
MT-2		12 2/3
MT-3		12 2/3
MT-4		7 2/3
MT-30		
<u>MT-90</u>		<u>0000</u>
		46 2/3
<u>A.R.T.</u>		<u>7 1/2</u>
		54 1/6

<u>Clouser</u>	VünAlvensleben	New Staff
MT-3 12 2/3	M1T-1 7 1/3	MT-1 51/3
A.R.T. 7-1/2	MT-2 51/3	MT-2 7 1/3
<u>MT-90 0</u>	MT-4 35/6	MT-4 35/6
20-1/6	<u>MT-50 1/2</u>	<u>MT-50 1/2</u>
	17	17

SPRING 1987

MT-1	7 1/3 + 5 1/3	12 2/3
MT-5		12/2/3/
MT-6		12 2/3
MT-7	7 1/3 + 5 1/3	12 2/3
MT-67		2
<u>MT-90</u>		<u>0000</u>
		52 2/3
A.R.T.		7 1/2
<u>AJ 36</u>	<u>(Advanced Dive Rescue Class)</u>	_2
		62 1/6

<u>Clouser</u>	<u>VonAlvensleben</u>	<u>New Staff</u>
MT-6 12 2/3	MT-1 71/3	MT-1 51/3
A.R.T. 7-1/2	MT-5 61/3	MT-5 61/3
<u>MT-90 0</u>	MT-7: 51/3	MT-7 71/3
20-1/6	MT-67	MT-67
	<u>AJ 36 1</u>	<u>AJ 36</u>
	2 1	21

Santa Barbara City College

COLLEGE PLANNING COMMITTEE

Tuesday, June 11, 1985 3 P.M. A-218-C

MINUTES

MEMBERS: Pat Huglin, Chairman, Dr.Bobgan, John Diaz, Lynda Fairly, Rusty Fairly, Pope Freeman, Dr. Hanson, Mike Mallen, Dan Oroz, JinnyWebber

RESOURCE: Elaine Cohen, John Romo, Diana Sloane

GUESTS: Shirley Conklin, Bob Dinaberg, Burt Miller

ANNOUNCEMENTS (P. Huglin)

- 1. This will be the last CPC meeting this college year.
- 2. Today's agenda:
 - a. Recommendation re Athletics Transportation Funding
 - b. Review Institutional Three-Year Plans
 - c. Accreditation
 - 1) Complete review of Standards
 - 2) State Standards/Recommendations from last visit will be available to review ... see Mary Moffat

I. FUNDING (TRANSPORTATION) FOR INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS (L. Fairly)

For the 1984-85 fiscal year the athletics intercollegiate transportation expenses were \$19,328 of which \$4,000 was allocated by the District. In fiscal year 1985-86 the expenses are estimated to be \$22,000 ... an increase of \$6,800. It has been recommended that the District fund the \$6,800 to maintain the program and a sub-committee of CPC be appointed to further review the issue and make an appropriate recommendation during the building of the 1986-87 college budget.

Dr. Bobgan made a motion to amend his original motion of 6/4/85 to reflect the total of \$6,800 as an underbudgeted item.

Pope Freeman indicated he felt there was a need for a committee to review the funding for the athletics program and make a recommendation to CPC in regard to the 1986-87 budget.

(Over . . .)

M/S/C Freeman/Dr. Bobgan Unanimous

To amend Dr. Bobgan's original motion of 6/4/85 to read:

"As an underbudgeted item CPC recommends that the District allocate \$6,800 for transportation in the 1985-86 budget to offset the anticipated deficit in co-curricular in the athletic program, and that a sub-committee of CPC be formed consisting of representatives of Rep Council, Division Council, and Athletics Advisory Committee, convened and coordinated by Student Services, to study the question and problem of District funding for athletics in general, and transportation in particular. This committee will forward its recommendations to the College Planning Committee, which will then consider this issue as it reviews and builds the 1986-87 college budget."

II. INSTITUTIONAL THREE-YEAR PLANS (Burt Miller)

Refer to agenda attachment. B. Miller briefly reviewed the Institutional Three-Year Plans with committee. The document is a summary of detailed departmental plans submitted through respective administrative channels. Departmental resource requests were extracted from the detailed plans and sent to CPC for prioritization. Those considered by CPC have been included in this plan. He plans to add the College Mission Statement, Institutional Directions, and a Table of Contents. John Romo plans to make some emendations to the English section.

Changes or modifications should be to Miller by July 1. Next year the report must be completed by April (instead of July) and will include details for 1986-87 if we're to make an impact on the State legislature. The goal of the State-Wide Planning Task Force Committee is to attempt to come up with a model to serve the needs of the State and the Districts. The model is meant to be a planning document not a compliance document.

III. RESPONSE TO ACCREDITATION STANDARDS (Committee)

Miss Conklin made the following announcements:

- a. A glossary will be added to the report as a reference to identify initials used throughout the report to indicate departments, and committees, e.g., LAC, LRC, etc.
- b. All committee comments and suggestions will be considered in the final re-writes although the rewrites will not be re-submitted to CPC. The final drafts will be distributed to each area for review.
- c. The visit will commence on October 22.
- d. The report will be mailed 45 days prior to the visit.
- e. Other items that need to be addressed:
 - 1) Hotel accommodations
 - 2) Planning of function evening prior to visit ... to



DRAFT

Santa Barbara Community College District

COMMUNITY SERVICES

11/5/85 CPC, Att. #3

DEFINITION OF USE CATEGORIES

I. College Sponsored

A college-sponsored event is defined as an event directly related to, participated in and run by the College faculty, staff, or duly approved campus student organization. Includes-Santa-Barbara-Gity-Recreation joint-use-activities-under-the-joint-use-agreement. When a fee or or admission charge is made, proceeds will remain with the sponsoring organization. (Refer to SBCC fund raising guidelines.)

II. Public Service (Civic Center Act)

Youth organization, senior citizen groups, PTA's and other public service groups who sponsor activities which are open to the public and no admission is charged. *Includes activities classified as joint use* by the agreement with the City of Santa Barbara. (A charge may be made for special services rendered.)

III. College Co-sponsored (Cost Covering)

A co-sponsored event is defined as an event which a college organization/ department agrees to participate in the planning and running of the event in conjunction with an off-campus organization/vendor. When fees and/or admission charges are made, net proceeds may be divided with the sponsoring organizations.

IV. Public Service (Cost Covering)

A public service event is defined as an activity sponsored by a public agency, school, civic group (non-profit) or governmental agency where a fee or admission charge is made. (Charges are made because the activity is not free and open to the public.)

V. Commercial Activities

A commercial activity is defined as an event conducted for profit-making, or where a *private* business or commercial interest desires to use college facilities for a conference or other activity.

MZ:mr 10/21/85

DRAFT

Santa Barbara City College EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

TOTAL ALLOCATED CE SHARE (22%) Balance for Credit Program	\$340,000 74,800 265,200
Total for Items Authorized for Funding DCC 10/2/85	33,950
NEW BALANCE FOR CREDIT	231,250
Share for New Equipment Share for Replacement Equipment	136,941 95,809
Anticipate an Additional \$10,000-\$15,000 from Continuing Education	
Total New Equipment Requests =	136,941

ENGLISH DIVISION

Composition & Literature

		Amount
1.	Data Vac Computer Vacuuum	\$ 60
	Apple IIe Cooling Fans (CAI-Comp. Lab Sider Half-eight 10 Megabyte hard disk	480
•••	drive (CAI-Comp. Lab)	695
4.	Apple ÌIe Microsystems	5,000
5.	Light Table for Magazine Editing class	400
6.	2 Cinemascope Lenses for Projector in H111	600
	TOTAL ENGLISH DIVISION	7,235

FINE ARTS/COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION

<u>Art</u>

2. 3. 4. 5.	Equipment for Commercial Art Studio (Compressor, Light Tables, Drafting Tables) Voltmeter Lapping wheel Jig Saw Daylight Slide Viewer 2 2 hp 71/2 Skillsaws Beta Machine for HLLL (Joint request with English)	5,000 75 250 150 550 180 500
	Total Art Department	6,705

<u>Communication</u>

<u>Item</u>

Apple IIe Professional System Compac Computer w/20MB, hard disk, Hayes Smaxtron	1,500
 Modem, Compac Letter Quality Printer	<u>3,200</u>
Total Communication Department	4,700

<u>Music</u>

<u>Item</u>

1. 2.	Yamaha DX-7 Synthesizer (Keyboard controller) Passport MIDI Interface with Drum Sync required for	1,600
	Synthesizer-Computer Interface	200
3.	Software - Passport MIDI plus MIDI Polywriter Utilities	150 80
	DX-PRO (Yamaha)	200
4.	Tap Master II with Stereo Headphone &	200
	Power transformer	400
	Apple IIe	1,200
6.	Apple IIe Disk Drive	<u> </u>
	Total Music Department	4,160
	TOTAL FINE ARTS/COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION	16,165
INSTRUCT	IONAL SUPPORT	
CAI	(Computer Assisted Instruction)	
Item		

1.	Scantron Data Terminal	3,000
2.	8 Apple Extended 80 Column Cards	1,200

CAI Total 4,200

SCIENCE DIVISION

<u>Chemistry</u>

<u>Item</u>

2.	2 Apple IIe Computers, Dual Disk Drive FID Gas Chromotograph Radio Shack Voltmeter	2,800 7,000 <u>1,500</u>
	Total Chemistry Department	11,300

<u>Biology</u>

Lane Skin Cabiņet	3,200
Sarcaphagus/Autopsy Table	3,000
Collins Respirometer	2,750
Evolutionary Skull Models (10)	2,000
Primate Skull Models (6)	1,200
25-inch Monitor	500
Lab Oven	500
Bottom Corer	100
Current Meter	600
Total Chemistry Department	13,850
	Sarcaphagus/Autopsy Table Collins Respirometer Evolutionary Skull Models (10) Primate Skull Models (6) 25-inch Monitor Lab Oven Bottom Corer Current Meter

<u>Physics</u>

<u>Item</u>

1.	Monitor & Interface	1,000
	Force Tables	400
3.		1,100
4.	Centripetal Force App. (2)	1,080
5.	Ballistic Pendulum (4)	1,500
6.	Color Mixing Apparatus	175
7.	Substracture Color Demo	95
8.	Wheatstone Bridge App. (4)	2,080
9.	Lab Jacks (5)	500
10.	Mechanical Unurese video tapes	2,014
11.	Digital Projection Meter	300
12.	Computer scope Interface	1,500
13.	Disk Drive	250
14.	Memory Expansion (RAM works 256K)	300
15.	Printer Multiplexer	200
16.	Spectrometers (4)	3,340
17.	Ballistic Galvenometer (4)	1,800
18.	Standard Capacitors (6)	1,056
19.	Scatteringt Apparatus (8)	3,200
20.	Speed of Light Demo	650
21.	Telescope	1,500
22.	Holography Lab Kit	160
	10 MW Laser Tube	100
24.	Electrometer	<u>1,595</u>
	Total Physics Department	25,895
	TOTAL SCIENCE DIVISION	51,045

Physical Education

1.	Electric Metronome	58
2.	Pocket size Dry Spirometer	179.45
3.	Health OMeter Scale Stethoscope	92
	Plastic Gonrometer (2)	42.40
5.	Polaroid Camera	86.95
6.	Bicycle Ergometer	795.
7.	Fisher 3980 System	479.
8.	Paramount Vertical Butterfly	1,595
9.	Universal Total Hip	2,263
	Total Physical Education	5,5 91

<u>Health Technologies</u>

2. 3.	Full Body Manikin IV Poles (4) IV Pump IV Training Arm	1,200 400 500 195
	Total Health Technologies	2,295

<u>Library</u>

Item

1. Dictionary Stand		170	
	Total	Library	170

BUSINESS DIVISION

Business Education

1. 640 IBM PC'S (8) 2. Dot Matrix Printers (6)	20,000 3,600
Total Business Department	23,600
MATH/COMPUTER SCIENCE DIVISION	
<u>Computer Science</u>	
<pre>1. IBM Computer 2. 1.16-inch Multiplexor</pre>	3,000 4,000
Total Computer Science Department	7,000
Mathematics Department	
Item	
<pre>1. Upgrade Departent Apple IIe Disk Drive 64k extender card Printer and Interface System Saver Software</pre>	230 150 600 100 250
 For Computerized Attendance 2 Bar Code Readers Bering Industries 45 Megabyte hard disk with 	800
710K Floppy Disk Drive 4. Hewlett Packard Mini-grade (ROM upgrade kit) (necessary for Hard Disk)	4,000 160
Total Mathematics Department	6,290
Total Math/Computer Science Division	13,290

SOCIAL SCIENCES DIVISION

<u>History</u>

 Apple IIe Package Pioneer Laser Disk 700 	2,000 700
Total History Department	2,700
<u>Economics</u>	
<u>Items</u>	
1. IBM XT Package with Printer	4,500
Total Economics Departmen	nt 4,500
<u>Philosophy</u>	
Item	
1. 1 Apple IIe Package	2,000
Total Philosophy Departme	ent 2,000
Political Science & Psychology	
1. 1 VHS Portable 4CR	400
Total Social Sciences Div	vision 9,600
LAC	
<u>Item</u>	
 Laser Disk Video-Pioneer 700 1 Computer Interface 	700 150
Total LAC	850
HONORS	
<u>Item</u>	
1. 1 IBM Compatible Computer and Printer	3,500
Total HONORS	3,500
Total New Equipment Requ	ests 136,941
7 Instruction Office October 16, 1985	

SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE MARINE TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

SUBJECT: Replacement of personnel FROM: Gerald L. Clouser

 Marine Tech went from 4 instructors to 2 last year. Bob Christensen retired in June and Greg Bryant also resigned to go into the professional sector. This leaves only Mike Von Alvensleben and myself to carry the full load of the program. We have been able to carry that load this semester only because of a major change in the structure of the Marine Technology program.

Marine Techology program change.

We are now allowing students to enter the program either in the Fall or Spring, according to their desire. We can do this because the only Marine Tech class that they now have in the first year is the Basic Diving Class. This class is offered both in Fall and Spring. All other classes are either academic requirements or support classes as shown below:

FIRST YEAR Basic Diving (M.T.1) Drafting 2 Welding for the Marine Industry 1 & II Physical Oceanography (E.S. 2) Machine Shop Operations (M.S.11) Marine Biology (Bio.5) Fundamentals of Electronics (Elect.10) *English 1 *Physics 1 *Speech 21 or 23 *American Institutions	Units 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 5 5
SECOND YEAR FALL SEMESTER Seamanship and small boat handling (M.T.2) Advanced Diving (M.T.3) Ocean Dives (M.T.30) Marine Engines & Compressors (M.T.4) Biological Oceanography (Sio.11) Advanced Studies (M.T.90)	Units 3 3 1 3 3 <u>1</u> 14
SPRING SEMESTER Underwater Construction (M.T.5) Underwater Operations (M.T.6) Diving Systems (M.T.7) Ocean Dives (M.T.67) Advanced Studies (M.T.90) Emergency Medical Technician (E.M.T.1)	Units 3 3 1 1 5 16

Recommended Electives: Fundamentals of photography and Computer Science. *Required for Associate in Science Degree.

- Another consideration is that the Marine Tech Department teaches two classes for the Marine Science. Department: MT 1- Basic Diving; and. MT 2-Seamanship and Small Boat Handling. Without additional personnel we will be unable to continue offering these classes for that department.
- 3. Marine Tech enrollment is increasing. This fall semester enrollment was 50, which is an increase over last year's enrollment of 40. We will also be accepting applicants during the spring semester. We already have about 15 students who have expressed an interest in starting in the spring. I am anticipating starting the second year with 60 students. The highest number of students that I've seen start the second year in the past ten years was 42. Why the increase?
 - A. The program change has allowed students to come in either semester and arrange their schedule to meet their needs.
 - B. We have stepped up our public awareness of the Marine Tech Program. Last year I visited 3 of the local high schools on their career days. We also wrote letters and sent information to career centers at two hundred high schools across the state. We also participate in local events such as the Fishermans Festival and Stearns Wharf Day.
 - C. We also put a ad in one of the popular dive magazines, "The California Diver," in which we gleaned many inquiries. I believe several of the students in the first year heard about the program from this ad.
- Lab sizes are small for safety considerations. I hope to have full labs next year of 12 to 15 students for the diving classes, and 25 to 30 for the non-diving classes. Present diving lab sizes are running from 9 to 12 students.
- 5. If we should have to continue to teach the program with only two instructors, it would require Mike and me to have a tremendous overload for the spring semester. Therefore, in the fall we would have to drop classes which are part of the students majors requirements. To hire qualified hourly instructors is almost impossible because of the nature of the requirements. The attached pages show the TLU requirements of the department.

SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE MARINE TECHNOLOGY TEACHER LOAD UNIT (T.L.U.) Fall 1985 (Transition Year)				
MT-1 MT-2 MT-5 MT-50 <u>MT-90</u>	7 1/3 + 5 1/3 (One Lab-Marine Science) (Three labs)	12 2/3 7 1/3 10 1/3 1 <u>0000</u> 31 1/3		
<u>A.R.T.</u>	<u>(Release Time)</u>	<u>7 1/2</u> 38 5/6		
<u>Clouser</u> MT-1 5 1/3 MT-5 7 2/3 A.R.T. 7 1/2 <u>MT-50 1/2</u> 21	VonAlvensleben MT-1 7 1/3 MT-5 2 2/3 MT-2 7 1/3 MT-50 1/2 1/2 I7 5/6 1/2			
SPRING 1986 (Transi MT-1 MT-6 MT-7 MT-67 <u>MT-90</u>	tion Year) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 (Three labs) 7 1/3 + 5 1/3	12 2/3 10 1/3 12 2/3 2 <u>0000</u> 37 2/3		
A.R.T. <u>AJ-36 (Advance</u>	<u>d Dive Rescue Class)</u>	7 1/2 <u>47 1/6</u>		
<u>Clouser</u> MT-6 101/3 A.R.T. 71/2 <u>MT-90 0</u> 175/6	<u>VonAlvensleben</u> MT-1 7 1/3 MT-7 5 1/3 MT-67 <u>AJ-36</u> 14 2/3	<u>New Staff</u> MT-1 51/3 MT-7 71/3 MT-671 <u>AJ-361</u> 142/3		

MARINE TECHNOLOGY TEACHER LOAD UNIT (T.L.U.) Continued FALL 1986 MT-1 7 1/3 + 5 1/3 12 2/3 MT-2 12 2/3 MT-3 12 2/3 MT-4 7 2/3 MT-30 MT-90 <u>0000</u> 46 2/3 <u>A.R.T.</u> 7 1/2

<u>Clouser</u>	<u>VonAlvensleben</u>	<u>New Staff</u>
MT-3 12 2/3	MT-1 71/3	MT-1 51/3
A.R.T. 7-1/2	MT-2 51/3	MT-2 7 1/3
<u>MT-90 0</u>	MT-4 35/6	MT-4 35/6
20 1/6	<u>MT-50 1/2</u>	<u>MT-50 1/2</u>
	17	17

54 1/6

SPRING 1987

MT-1	7 1/3 + 5 1/3	12 2/3
MT-5		12 2/3
MT-6		12 2/3
MT-7	7 1/3 + 5 1/3	12 2/3
MT-67		2
<u>MT-90</u>		<u>0000</u>
		52 2/3
A.R.T.		7 1/2
<u>AJ 36</u>	<u>(Advanced Dive Réscue Class)</u>	_2
		62 1/6

<u>Clouser</u>	<u>VonAlvensleben</u>	<u>New Staff</u>
MT-6 12 2/3	MT-1 7 1/3	MT-1 5-1/3
A.R.T. 7-1/2	MT-5 61/3	MT-5 61/3
<u>MT-90 0</u>	MT-7 51/3	MT-7 71/3
20 1/6	MT-67	MT-67 1
	<u>AJ 36</u> 1	<u>AJ 36</u>
	2 1	21